

P.R. No. 969/06
Presented by J.P. Pandey
dated 21/2/06

SINGLE BENCH

C. Fr 100/

①

②

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, JUDICATURE AT
BILASPUR

Writ Petition No. 888 /2006

Petitioner

: Manoj Tiwari, Son of Shri V. P. Sharma, Aged about 34 years, Assistant Treasury Officer, posted at District Treasury, Jagdalpur, District Bastar (Chhattisgarh).

Versus

Respondents

- : 1. State of Chhattisgarh, through Secretary, Finance & Planning Department, Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Dr. A. K. Singh, Under Secretary, Finance Department, Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
3. Director, Treasury, Accounts & Pension, Chhattisgarh Raipur.

RECEIVED-COPY
21/2/06
CLE A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक

WP 888/06

सन् 2006

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<u>23-2006</u> Shri J.P. Pandey, counsel for the petitioner. Shri G.K. Beriwal, Dy. Advocate General, with Shri Satish Gupta, Dy. Govt. Advocate, for the State. Application for urgent hearing is allowed. Heard. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner was posted at Jagdalpur vide order dated 4/11/2000. He further submitted that the petitioner has been transferred from Jagdalpur to Antagarh, district Kanker vide order dated 8/2/2006 and no person has been posted in his place. He also submitted that the transfer is against the transfer policy during the ban period in mid session. Counsel for the State submits that the petitioner has not made any representation to the authority concerned. He however submits that for the present the petitioner may be directed to file a representation raising his grievances to the authorities concerned, which shall be considered on its own merits in accordance with law. Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation raising his grievances before the competent authority of the respondents along with a copy of the petition and this order, within 15 days, and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of the respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within two months from the date of	

[पीछे देखिये]

32)

आदेश पत्रक

WP 888/06

मामला क्रमांक

सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित - 2 -	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
H/-	receipt of the representation. It is made clear that if the petitioner's grievance still remains, he may avail the remedy available under the law. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not been relieved so far and none has been posted in his place. He prays for interim protection. Having thus considered, it is directed that if the petitioner is not relieved so far, he may continue on the present place of posting till the representation is decided. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. Consequently M.W.P. No. 695/2006 also stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules.	Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge

[पीछे देखिये]

+ 8/3/06